

QUANTIZATION OF SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

JOSHUA MUNDINGER

DISCUSSED WITH VICTOR GINZBURG

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the problem of quantization: given a field k and a commutative algebra A , we wish to find an associative flat $k[[\hbar]]$ -algebra A_\hbar such that $A_\hbar/(\hbar) = A$. Given such an A_\hbar , the quotient $A_\hbar/(\hbar)$ carries a natural Poisson structure, via the Hayashi construction: given $a, b \in A$ with lifts \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} to A_\hbar , their Poisson bracket is defined by

$$\{a, b\} = \frac{[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]}{\hbar} \pmod{\hbar}.$$

This is well-defined since A_\hbar is flat over $k[[\hbar]]$. Thus, a more refined problem of quantization is: given a *Poisson algebra* A , find an associative flat $k[[\hbar]]$ -algebra A_\hbar such that $A_\hbar/(\hbar) = A$.

More generally, we may consider when A is replaced by a sheaf of functions on a space. In the smooth category, De Wilde-Lecomte and Fedosov independently proved that every symplectic manifold admits a quantization of its sheaf of smooth functions (see eg [4]). Kontsevich generalized this by showing every Poisson manifold admits a quantization of its smooth functions [8]. In this topic proposal, we consider, the problem of quantizing a symplectic manifold over a field of positive characteristic, following Bezrukavnikov and Kaledin [2]. This followed earlier work in characteristic zero [1].

Throughout, k will be a field of characteristic p . Given a vector space, scheme, $\dots X$ over k , $X^{(1)}$ will denote its base change over the Frobenius $k \rightarrow k$.

Definition 1.1. A *symplectic manifold* X/k is a smooth scheme equipped with a *symplectic form* $\Omega \in \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^2)$: Ω is closed and the map $\partial \mapsto i_\partial \Omega : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ is an isomorphism (i.e. Ω is nondegenerate).

Theorem 1.2 ([2]). *Let X/k be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form Ω . Assume that the relative Frobenius map \mathbf{Fr} induces an isomorphism $H^i(X^{(1)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $[\Omega] \in H_{\text{dR}}^2(X)$ satisfies $C^2[\Omega] = C^1[\Omega] = 0$ as in Theorem 3.10, then there exists a quantization \mathcal{O}_\hbar of the Poisson sheaf \mathcal{O}_X .*

2. FROBENIUS TWIST, CARTIER ISOMORPHISM, AND p -CURVATURE

Let k be a field of characteristic p . For any scheme X/k , there is the absolute Frobenius morphism $\text{Frob}_X : X \rightarrow X$ which is given on \mathcal{O} by $f \mapsto f^p$. This is a lift of the absolute Frobenius on $\text{Spec } k$, and thus if we define $X^{(1)}$ to be the base change of X/k over $\text{Frob}_k : k \rightarrow k$, the Frobenius factors through a unique map $\mathbf{Fr}_{X/k} : X \rightarrow X^{(1)}$, the *relative Frobenius*. If the scheme X is understood, the relative Frobenius will be denoted by just \mathbf{Fr} . The map

\mathbf{Fr} is a bijection on points. If X is reduced, the map $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is the embedding of the subalgebra generated by p th powers in \mathcal{O}_X .

Let $\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet$ denote the de Rham complex of a morphism $X \rightarrow S$; if $S = \text{Spec } k$, we write $\Omega_X^\bullet = \Omega_{X/\text{Spec } k}^\bullet$. The de Rham differential d is $\mathbf{Fr}_{X/k}$ -linear, and thus the de Rham cohomology has the structure of an $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}$ -module. The Cartier isomorphism identifies this $\mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}$ -module. The following statement of the Cartier isomorphism is due to Katz [7].

Theorem 2.1 (Cartier). *There exists a unique map $C^{-1} : \Omega_{X^{(1)}}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Fr}_* \mathcal{H}^\bullet(\Omega_X)$ defined by*

- (1) for f a section of \mathcal{O}_X , $C^{-1}(f \otimes 1) = f^p$;
- (2) for f a section of \mathcal{O}_X , $C^{-1}(d(f \otimes 1)) = f^{p-1} df$;
- (3) for sections ω, τ of $\Omega_{X^{(1)}}$, $C^{-1}(\omega \wedge \tau) = C^{-1}(\omega) \wedge C^{-1}(\tau)$.

Further, if X/k is smooth, then C^{-1} is an isomorphism.

Given an \mathcal{O}_X -module with flat connection (\mathcal{M}, ∇) , we may define the p -curvature $\nabla^{(p)}$ of ∇ by

$$\nabla_{\partial}^{(p)} = (\nabla_{\partial})^p - \nabla_{\partial^{[p]}} \in \text{End } \mathcal{M}.$$

It follows from an identity of Hochschild [6, Lemma 1] that $\nabla_{f\partial}^{(p)} = f^p \nabla_{\partial}^{(p)}$ for all sections f of \mathcal{O}_X .

Lemma 2.2 ([9] Lemma 4). *If D is a derivation of a commutative \mathbb{F}_p -algebra A , then for $f \in A$,*

$$D^{p-1}(f^{p-1} D(f)) = -D(f)^p + f^{p-1} D^p(f).$$

The following identity essentially appears in Cartier's original work on the Cartier operator [3, §II.6, Lemme 4].

Lemma 2.3 (Katz). [7, Proposition 7.1.2] *If α is a closed 1-form on X and $\nabla = d + \alpha$ on \mathcal{O}_X , then for a vector field ∂ on X ,*

$$\nabla_{\partial}^{(p)} = (\alpha(\partial))^p - (i_{\partial} C(\alpha))^p,$$

where we view ∂ as a vector field on $X^{(1)}$ by $\partial(f \otimes 1) = \partial(f) \otimes 1$.

Proof sketch. Use Jacobson's identity to reduce $\nabla_{\partial}^{(p)}$. Then the desired identity locally reduces to Lemma 2.2. \square

We need one more operation throughout, which essentially is the inverse to the Cartier operation in dimension 1. Given a vector field ∂ on X/k , define $i_{\partial}^{[p]} : \Omega_X^i \rightarrow \Omega_X^{i-1}$ by

$$i_{\partial}^{[p]}(\alpha) = i_{\partial^{[p]}}(\alpha) - \mathcal{L}_{\partial}^{p-1}(i_{\partial}\alpha),$$

where $\partial^{[p]}$ is the p th power of ∂ as a derivation, and \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative.

Lemma 2.4 ([2], Lemma 2.1). *For α a closed form,*

$$C(i_{\partial}^{[p]}(\alpha)) = i_{\partial} C(\alpha).$$

Proof sketch. First prove the identity when α is a 1-form. In that case, $i_{\partial}^{[p]}(\alpha) = \alpha(\partial^p) - \partial^{p-1}(\alpha(\partial))$; now the calculations of Lemma 2.3 show the desired identity. In the general case, show that $i_{\partial}^{[p]}$ is a derivation with respect to wedge product, up to a coboundary. \square

3. LIFTS OF FROBENIUS AND RESTRICTED STRUCTURES

3.1. **Restricted structures.** The notion of a restricted Lie algebra is due to Jacobson.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over k . Then \mathfrak{g} is a *restricted Lie algebra* if there is a map of sets $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ denoted by $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi : \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \\ \xi : x &\mapsto x^p - x^{[p]} \end{aligned}$$

is a linear map into the center of $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$.

This may be written directly in terms of nonlinear identities for $x^{[p]}$. In particular, there is a universal Lie polynomial $L(x, y)$ such that

$$(x + y)^{[p]} = x^{[p]} + y^{[p]} + L(x, y).$$

3.2. **Frobenius-constant quantizations.**

Definition 3.2. Given a commutative Poisson k -algebra A , a *quantization* of A is a flat $k[[\hbar]]$ -algebra A_\hbar complete with respect to the \hbar -adic topology with a Poisson isomorphism $A_\hbar/(\hbar) \cong A$. Given a Poisson variety X/k , a *quantization* of X is a flat sheaf of $k[[\hbar]]$ -algebras \mathcal{O}_\hbar complete with respect to the \hbar -adic topology with a Poisson isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_\hbar/(\hbar) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$.

Definition 3.3 ([2]). A quantization A_\hbar of A is *Frobenius-constant* if there exists a central ring map

$$s : A^{(1)} \rightarrow A_\hbar$$

such that for all $a \in A_\hbar$,

$$s(a \bmod \hbar) - a^p \in (\hbar^{p-1}).$$

Example 3.4. If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, then the (\hbar -adic completion of) $\text{Rees}_\hbar \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$ is a quantization of $\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$. A set map $-^{[p]} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ makes \mathfrak{g} into a restricted Lie algebra if and only if $s : \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{Rees}_\hbar \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$s(x) = x^p - \hbar^{p-1} x^{[p]}$$

is a central ring map. This shows $\widehat{\text{Rees}_\hbar \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Frobenius-constant quantization of \mathfrak{g} if \mathfrak{g} is restricted.

Example 3.5. Let $A = k[x, y]$ be the polynomial algebra in 2 variables, with Poisson structure given by the symplectic form $\Omega = dx \wedge dy$. Then $A_\hbar = k[[\hbar]] \langle x, y \rangle / ([x, y] - \hbar)$ is a quantization of A , and $s : A^{(1)} \rightarrow A_\hbar$ defined by $s(x) = x^p$, $s(y) = y^p$ makes A_\hbar a Frobenius-constant quantization.

3.3. **Restricted quantized algebras.** If (A_\hbar, s) is a Frobenius-constant quantization, then Example 3.4 suggests that

$$(1) \quad a^{[p]} = \frac{a^p - s(a)}{\hbar^{p-1}}$$

is the analog of a p -operation of a restricted Lie algebra. To produce a Frobenius-constant quantization, instead of keeping track of the splitting s through the deformation, we will keep track of the restricted structure $-^{[p]}$.

Definition 3.6. A *quantized algebra* over k is a $k[[\hbar]]$ algebra A equipped with a Lie bracket $\{-, -\}$ which is a derivation in each argument and satisfies

$$\hbar\{a, b\} = ab - ba$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

A quantized algebra where $\hbar = 0$ is a Poisson algebra; a quantized algebra which is flat over $k[[\hbar]]$ is a quantization.

A p -operation defined by (1) satisfies

$$(2) \quad (xy)^{[p]} - x^p y^{[p]} - x^{[p]} y^p + \hbar^{p-1} x^{[p]} y^{[p]} = P(x, y),$$

where $P(x, y)$ is a universal quantized polynomial (i.e. a Poisson polynomial with $\hbar\{a, b\} = ab - ba$). It is defined by

$$P(x, y) = \frac{(xy)^p - x^p y^p}{\hbar^{p-1}}$$

in the universal quantized algebra in x and y . This motivates the following definition:

Definition 3.7. A *restricted quantized algebra* is a quantized algebra A equipped with a p -operation $a \mapsto a^{[p]}$ which makes $(A, \{-, -\})$ into a restricted Lie algebra, satisfies $\hbar^{[p]} = \hbar$, and satisfies (2).

If A has a Frobenius-constant quantization, then it is restricted quantized. Two of the main theorems of [2] deal with the existence and uniqueness of restricted structures. We will deal with the existence of restricted structures in Theorems 3.8 and 3.9.

Theorem 3.8 ([2] Theorem 1.11). *Let X/k be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form Ω . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Hamiltonian vector fields on X are closed under p th powers;*
- (2) $C^2(\Omega) = 0$.

If X/k has a Frobenius-constant quantization, then both claims hold.

Proof. For f a section of \mathcal{O}_X , let H_f denote the Hamiltonian vector field for f . The vector field $H_f^{[p]}$ is Hamiltonian if and only if

$$C(i_{H_f^{[p]}} \Omega) = 0.$$

Now $\mathcal{L}_{H_f}^{p-1}(i_{H_f} \Omega) = \mathcal{L}_{H_f}^{p-1}(df) = 0$, so by Lemma 2.4,

$$C(i_{H_f^{[p]}} \Omega) = C(i_{H_f}^{[p]} \Omega) = i_{H_f} C(\Omega).$$

Thus, $H_f^{[p]}$ is Hamiltonian for all f if and only if $C(\Omega) = 0$. If X/k has a Frobenius-constant quantization, then \mathcal{O}_X is restricted Poisson, and $H_{f^{[p]}} = H_f^{[p]}$. \square

The following is an affine version of [2, Theorem 1.12], and appears there as Proposition 2.6. If as above $C^2(\Omega) = 0$, then the symplectic form Ω is locally exact. If Ω is exact, then and this allows for the construction of restricted structures.

Lemma 3.9. *Let A the coordinate ring of an affine symplectic manifold over k . Suppose the symplectic form Ω is exact and λ is a 1-form such that $d\lambda = \Omega$. Then restricted structures $-^{[p]}$ on A are in bijection with Frobenius derivations κ of A into the Poisson center of A , via the formula*

$$a^{[p]} + \kappa(a) = i_{H_a}^{[p]}(\lambda).$$

Sketch. Under Ω , λ is dual to a vector field ξ . The condition that $d\lambda = \Omega$ transports to that $\xi - \text{id}$ is a derivation of the Poisson bracket. Then the various restricted quantized identities for $-^{[p]}$ follow from differentiating the universal identities for $L(x, y)$ and $P(x, y)$ with respect to ξ . \square

Now recall that since the Cartier operators $C^i : \mathbf{Fr}_* \mathcal{H}^i(\Omega_X^\bullet) \rightarrow \Omega_X^i$, are defined on the cohomology sheaves, they define operations on the associated filtration on hypercohomology groups, $H_{\text{dR}}(X)$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let X/k is a symplectic manifold with symplectic form Ω , and let $[\Omega] \in H_{\text{dR}}^2(X)$ denote the image of $\Omega \in H^0(\mathcal{H}^2(\Omega^\bullet))$. If both $C^2([\Omega]) = 0$ and $C^1([\Omega]) = 0$, then X has a restricted structure compatible with Ω .*

Proof. The condition that $C^2[\Omega] = 0$ implies that Ω is locally exact. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be a cover such that $\Omega|_{U_\alpha} = d\lambda_\alpha$; then $\{\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta\}$ defines a Čech cohomology class in $H^1(\Omega_X^1)$. The condition that $C^1[\Omega] = 0$ is exactly that this class vanishes; that is, after a refinement, we have

$$(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)|_{U_{\alpha\beta}} = d\mu_{\alpha\beta}$$

for some $\mu_{\alpha\beta} \in \Gamma(U_{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{O})$. Then $i_{H_a}^{[p]}(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta) = i_{H_a}^{[p]}(d\mu_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$. Thus, according to Lemma 3.9, we may define a restricted structure by

$$a^{[p]}|_{U_\alpha} = i_{H_a}^{[p]}(\lambda_\alpha).$$

The relation $i_{H_a}^{[p]}(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta) = 0$ shows this is well-defined. \square

4. LOCAL STRUCTURE OF QUANTIZATION

Definition 4.1. A *quantization base* is a commutative Artinian local $k[[h]]$ -algebra (B, \mathfrak{m}_B) with $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{m}_B$ equipped with a derivation $K : B \rightarrow B^{(1)}$ such that $K(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$.

In the above definition, $B^{(1)}$ is a B -module via the Frobenius map, and thus such a K is defined by a map $K : B \rightarrow B^{(1)}$ satisfying

$$K(ab) = a^p K(b) + K(a)b^p.$$

This is equivalent to the data of a restricted structure on B as a quantized algebra with trivial bracket, by setting $b^{[p]} = K(b)$.

Definition 4.2. A *B-quantization* of a restricted Poisson k -algebra A is a restricted quantized flat B -algebra A^B equipped with a restricted Poisson isomorphism $A^B/\mathfrak{m}_B A^B \rightarrow A$. A *B-quantization* of a restricted Poisson variety X/k is a flat B -algebra sheaf \mathcal{O}^B equipped with a restricted Poisson isomorphism $\mathcal{O}^B/\mathfrak{m}_B \mathcal{O}^B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

In order to produce a quantization as in Theorem 1.2, we will only need to consider when $B = k[[h]]/(h^{m+1})$ for $m \geq 0$, equipped with the Frobenius derivation K defined by $K(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$. However, the proofs require reducing noncommutative deformations over such B to commutative deformations over bases B where $\mathfrak{h} = 0$.

The local model for a space X/k which we wish to quantize will be the Frobenius neighborhood. By definition, for X/k , the *Frobenius neighborhood* of a point $x \in X$ is the space

$$\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^{[p]}) \rightarrow X,$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_x^{[p]}$ is the ideal generated by p th powers of elements of \mathfrak{m}_x . If X/k is regular at the (locally) closed point x , then by the Cohen Structure Theorem, the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ is isomorphic to $k[[\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2]]$.

When X is symplectic, the dimension of the cotangent space at a closed point is even. For $n = \dim X/2$, the Frobenius neighborhood of a closed point is the spectrum of

$$A_F = k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]/(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p, y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p).$$

Pulling back the symplectic form of X equips $\text{Spec } A_F$ with a symplectic form. When X is symplectic, so that n is even, $\text{Spec } A_F$ is also equipped with a symplectic form. Our first step in understanding quantizations of $\text{Spec } A_F$ is to understand the symplectic forms on $\text{Spec } A_F$, up to isomorphism.

Let $\text{Aut}(A_F)$ be the group scheme of automorphisms of $\text{Spec } A_F$. This is an affine group scheme over k . Let $\text{Aut}(A_F)_0$ denote the group subscheme of automorphisms preserving the maximal ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$. The group $\text{Aut}(A_F)$ is not reduced, but $\text{Aut}(A_F)_0$ is.

Example 4.3. For $A = k[x]/x^p$, $\text{Aut}(A) = \text{Spec } k[a_0, a_1^{\pm 1}, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}]/(a_0^p)$. An \mathbb{R} -point (a_0, \dots, a_{p-1}) corresponds to the automorphism of $A \otimes_k \mathbb{R}$ induced by

$$x \mapsto a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{p-1} x^{p-1}.$$

This preserves the maximal ideal (x) if and only if $a_0 = 0$. Hence

$$\text{Aut}(A)_0 = \text{Spec } k[a_1^{\pm 1}, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}].$$

To study quantizations of A_F , we study first a commutative version: we study restricted Poisson structures on $A_F \otimes B$ where B is an Artinian k -algebra. Suppose B is equipped with a Frobenius derivation $K: B \rightarrow B$ and $A_F \otimes B/B$ is equipped with a restricted Poisson structure compatible with K . First define $\text{Aut}(A_F)^B = \text{Res}_{B/k} \text{Aut}(A_F \otimes B)$ to be the group of B -linear automorphisms of $A_F \otimes B$. Then define

$$G^B \subseteq \text{Aut}(A_F)^B \quad G_0^B \subseteq \text{Aut}(A_F)_0^B$$

to be the subgroups preserving the restricted Poisson structure.

Theorem 4.4 ([2] Proposition 3.4). *Let B/k be a quantization base. Then all nondegenerate restricted Poisson structures on $A_F \otimes B/B$ compatible with K are isomorphic.*

Vague sketch: First, the nondegenerate restricted Poisson structures form an irreducible variety. Then estimate the dimension of the $\text{Aut}(A_F)^B$ -orbit of a structure, using Lemma 3.9 to count the dimension of the space of restricted structures and that the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(A_F)_0^B$ is the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields on $A_F \otimes B$. One obtains that the orbit has codimension zero.

To count dimensions via Lemma 3.9, one needs a version of the Cartier isomorphism for $A_F \otimes B/B$, which is not smooth; nonetheless there is a version. \square

Theorem 4.5 ([2] Proposition 3.6). *Let $I \subseteq B \rightarrow B_0$ be a small extension of the quantization base B_0 . Let A_0 be a quantization of A_F over B_0 . Then all regular quantizations A_1 of A_0 over B are isomorphic.*

Sketch. The idea of the above Theorem is to reduce to the case when $\hbar = 0$, and then apply Theorem 4.4. To reduce to when $\hbar = 0$, we consider the Baer sum $B' = B \oplus^{B_0} B$ and for $\delta: B \rightarrow B'$ the diagonal map, $B'' = B'/\delta(\mathfrak{m}_B)$. Then $B'' = B'/\delta(\mathfrak{m}_B)$ has $\hbar = 0$, and $B' = B \oplus^k B''$. Then for A_1 and A_2 B -quantizations of A_0 , $A_1 \oplus^{A_0} A_2$ is a B' -quantization of

A_0 , which reduces to a B'' -quantization, to which we may apply Theorem 4.4. Tracing through all involved identifications gives $A_1 \cong A_2$ over A_0 . \square

Corollary 4.6 ([2] Corollary 3.7). *With notation as in Theorem 4.5, if A_1 is a B -quantization of A_0/B_0 , then $\text{Aut}(A_1) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_0)$ is a surjective map of algebraic groups.*

Finally, we observe that A_F has a Frobenius-constant quantization, related to Example 3.5. Define

$$D_1 = k[[\hbar]] \langle x, y \rangle / (x^p, y^p, xy - yx - \hbar),$$

with splitting $s : (D_1/\hbar D_1)^{(1)} \rightarrow D_1$ defined by $s(x) = s(y) = 0$. Then $D = D_1^{\otimes n}$ is a Frobenius-constant quantization of A_F .

5. GLOBAL STORY

To pass from local to global quantizations, we use the technique of formal geometry. The first step is to construct the *bundle of Frobenius frames*

$$\mathcal{M}_X = \{ \langle x, \varphi \rangle \mid x \in X, \varphi : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow A_F \text{ étale} \}$$

Recalling that $\text{Aut}(A_F)_0$ is the group subscheme of $\text{Aut}(A_F)$ stabilizing the maximal ideal,

Proposition 5.1. $\mathcal{M}_X / \text{Aut}(A_F)_0 = X$ and $\mathcal{M}_X / \text{Aut}(A_F) = X^{(1)}$.

Now given a G -torsor \mathcal{M} over Y , we have the localization functor

$$\text{Loc} : G\text{-rep}_{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_Y).$$

This is the associated bundle construction for the principal G -bundle \mathcal{M} . In the context of principle bundles in flat topology, the construction takes the form of flat descent: given a flat cover $\{U_i \rightarrow Y\}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \times_Y U_i$ is trivialized,

$$\text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}, V)(U_i) = \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \otimes_k V;$$

the data of a G -representation on V gives the descent datum. Localization is exact since flat descent is.

Proposition 5.2. *As a sheaf on $X^{(1)}$, $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}_X, A_F) = \mathbf{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_X$, where \mathbf{Fr} is the relative Frobenius.*

The main idea of Bezrukavnikov and Kaledin's formal geometry is to restrict the torsor of Frobenius frames to a torsor over the structure group of a local quantization. Localizing the (local) quantization of A then gives a localization of the structure sheaf. The formal statement is as follows:

Definition 5.3 ([2] Definition 4.1). Given a group G with a map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_F)$, a G -structure is a G -torsor \mathcal{M}_G on $X^{(1)}$ equipped with a map $\mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ over $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_F)$.

Lemma 5.4 ([2] Lemma 4.3). *Let A_B be a B -quantization of A_F , with restricted quantized automorphism group G^B . If \mathcal{M}^B is a G^B -structure, then $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}^B, A_B)$ is a B -quantization of \mathcal{O}_X .*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{O}_B = \text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}^B, A_B)$. We have identifications $\mathfrak{m}_B \mathcal{O}_B = \text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}^B, \mathfrak{m}_B A_B)$ and $\mathcal{O}_B / \mathfrak{m}_B \mathcal{O}_B = \text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}^B, A_B / \mathfrak{m}_B A_B)$ since the natural map pulls back to an isomorphism on a trivializing cover for \mathcal{M}^B . Since localization is exact, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_B \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B / \mathfrak{m}_B \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow 0.$$

By the local criterion for flatness, \mathcal{O}_B is flat over B . The action of G^B on $A_B / \mathfrak{m}_B A_B = A$ factors through $\text{Aut} A$, so we conclude $\mathcal{O}_B / \mathfrak{m}_B \mathcal{O}_B = \text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}^B, A) \cong \text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}_X, A)$. Now apply Proposition 5.2. \square

The converse to the above also holds [2, Lemma 4.3].

Thus, our problem is to construct G^B -structures as B ranges over $k[h]/(h^{n+1})$ for $n \geq 0$. Given a morphism $G' \rightarrow G$ of groups and a G -torsor \mathcal{M}_G , a *lift* of \mathcal{M}_G to G' is a G' -torsor $\mathcal{M}_{G'}$ and a map $\mathcal{M}_{G'} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G$ over $G' \rightarrow G$. The existence and uniqueness of lifts is governed by cohomology, according to the work of Giraud.

Theorem 5.5 ([5]). *Suppose $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is a short exact sequence of algebraic groups over k , and H is abelian. Let \mathcal{M}_G be a G -torsor on Y . Then the obstruction to lifting \mathcal{M}_G to a G' -torsor is*

$$\text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}_G, c) \in H^2(Y, \text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}_G, H))$$

(where H is a G -representation by conjugation by G). If the obstruction vanishes, then lifts are a torsor over

$$H^1(Y, \text{Loc}(\mathcal{M}_G, H)).$$

This technique is used in [2] to construct a first-order deformation. However, a first order deformation may be constructed directly.

Theorem 5.6. *If X/k is a symplectic manifold equipped with a restricted structure, then X has a $B = k[h]/h^2$ -quantization given by $\mathcal{O}^B = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus h\mathcal{O}_X$ with star product*

$$f_1 \star f_2 = f_1 f_2 + \frac{h}{2}\{f_1, f_2\},$$

Poisson bracket from X , and restricted operation

$$(f + hg)^{[p]} = f^{[p]} + h(g^p + \text{ad}_f^{p-1}(g)).$$

Proof. It is standard that this star product and Poisson bracket make \mathcal{O}^B a B -quantization of \mathcal{O}_X . Observe that the restricted operation satisfies

$$(f + hg)^{[p]} = f^{[p]} + hg^p + L(f, hg),$$

where L is Jacobson's universal Lie polynomial with respect to the Poisson bracket, and that in a restricted Poisson algebra, $(ha)^{[p]} = ha^p$. As X is restricted symplectic, by Theorem 4.4, the restricted symplectic structure on the Frobenius neighborhood of a closed point $x \in X$ is isomorphic to the standard restricted symplectic structure. The Frobenius neighborhood $\text{Spec } A_F$ with standard structure has a first-order restricted quantization given by the Moyal product on $A_F \oplus hA_F$. Hence, its p -operation satisfies $(f + hg)^{[p]} = f^{[p]} + h(g^p + \text{ad}_f^{p-1}(g))$. Thus, if $x \in X$ is a closed point with ideal \mathfrak{m}_x , the required identities hold in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^{[p]}$. By Nakayama's Lemma, the required identities hold everywhere. \square

Lemma 5.7 ([2] Lemma 3.10). *Let $I \subseteq B \rightarrow B_0$ be a small extension of quantization bases. The kernel of $G^B \rightarrow G^{B_0}$ is the group scheme*

$$H\langle I \rangle = \ker \left[\mathbf{Fr}_* \Omega_{\text{cl}}^1(A_F) \otimes I \xrightarrow{C - \mathbf{Fr} \otimes K} \Omega^1(A_F) \otimes I \right]$$

where $K : I \rightarrow I$ is the restriction of the restricted structure of B to I .

Sketch. The kernel of $G^B \rightarrow G^{B_0}$ is the group of transformations of the form $\text{id} + D$ where $D : I \otimes_B A^B \rightarrow A^B$ is a B -derivation. The equations that D must satisfy are transferred under symplectic duality to the condition that $(C^1 - \mathbf{Fr} \otimes K)(i_D \Omega) = 0$. \square

Example 5.8. Consider $B_0 = k[h]/(h^n)$, $B = k[h]/(h^{n+1})$, so that $I = (h^n)/(h^{n+1})$. There is a unique restricted structure on $k[h]/(h^m)$, given by the Frobenius-derivation with $K : h \mapsto h$. Since K is a Frobenius-derivation, $K(h^m) = mh^{(m-1)p+1}$, so that $K(I) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Hence, for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$H\langle I \rangle = \ker \left[C : \mathbf{Fr}_* \Omega_{\text{cl}}^1(A_F) \rightarrow \Omega^1(A_F) \right].$$

The localization of this sheaf to X is

$$\ker \left[C : \mathbf{Fr}_* \Omega_{\text{cl}}^1(X) \rightarrow \Omega^1(X^{(1)}) \right],$$

which is exactly the sheaf of exact 1-forms Ω_{ex}^1 on X .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let X be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form Ω , satisfying

$$\text{Fr}^* : H^i(X^{(1)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}}) \cong H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and satisfying $C^2[\Omega] = 0$ and $C^1[\Omega] = 0$. By Theorem 3.10, X admits a restricted structure. By Theorem 5.6, X has a first-order restricted quantization, which defines a $G^{k[h]/h^2}$ -structure.

We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Fr}_* \Omega_{\text{ex}}^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

where Ω_{ex}^1 is the sheaf of exact 1-forms on X . By the long exact sequence in cohomology, $H^2(\Omega_{\text{ex}}^1) = H^1(\Omega_{\text{ex}}^1) = 0$. By Example 5.8, the obstructions to extending a $G^{k[h]/h^n}$ -structure to a $G^{k[h]/h^{n+1}}$ structure is $H^2(\Omega_{\text{ex}}^1)$, and such extensions are a torsor over $H^1(\Omega_{\text{ex}}^1)$.

By Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 4.6, a $G^{k[h]/h^2}$ -structure extends uniquely to a $G^{k[h]/h^n}$ -structure for any $n \geq 2$. Now apply Lemma 5.4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Roman Bezrukavnikov and Dmitry Kaledin. Fedosov quantization in algebraic context. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 4(3):559–592, 2004.
- [2] Roman Bezrukavnikov and Dmitry Kaledin. Fedosov quantization in positive characteristic. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 21(2):409–438, 2008.
- [3] Pierre Cartier. Questions de rationalité des diviseurs en géométrie algébrique. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 86:177–251, 1958.
- [4] Boris V Fedosov. A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 40(2):213–238, 1994.
- [5] Jean Giraud. *Cohomologie non abélienne*. Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [6] Gerhard Hochschild. Simple algebras with purely inseparable splitting fields of exponent 1. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 79(2):477–489, 1955.
- [7] Nicholas M Katz. Algebraic solutions of differential equations (p-curvature and the Hodge filtration). *Inventiones mathematicae*, 18(1-2):1–118, 1972.
- [8] Maxim Kontsevich. Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 66(3):157–216, 2003.
- [9] Conjeeveram Srirangachari Seshadri. L'opération de Cartier. Applications. *Séminaire Claude Chevalley*, 4:1–26, 1958.